The format of this leaflet was determined by the Ministry of Health and its content was checked and approved in August 2015

TivicayTM

1. NAME OF THE MEDICINAL PRODUCT

TivicayTM

2. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE COMPOSITION

Each film-coated tablet contains dolutegravir sodium equivalent to 50 mg dolutegravir.

For the full list of excipients, see section 6.1.

3. PHARMACEUTICAL FORM

Film-coated tablet (tablet).

Yellow, round, biconvex tablets approximately 9 mm in diameter debossed with 'SV 572' on one side and '50' on the other side.

4. CLINICAL PARTICULARS

4.1 Therapeutic indications

Tivicay is indicated in combination with other anti-retroviral medicinal products for the treatment of Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) infected adults and adolescents aged 12 years and older and weighing at least 40 kg.

4.2 Posology and method of administration

Tivicay should be prescribed by physicians experienced in the management of HIV infection.

Posology

Adults

Patients infected with HIV-1 without documented or clinically suspected resistance to the integrase class

The recommended dose of dolutegravir is 50 mg (one tablet) orally once daily.

Tivically should be administered twice daily in this population when co-administered with some medicines (e.g. efavirenz, nevirapine, tipranavir/ritonavir, or rifampicin). Please refer to section 4.5.

Patients infected with HIV-1 with resistance to the integrase class (documented or clinically suspected)

The recommended dose of dolutegravir is 50 mg (one tablet) twice daily.

In the presence of documented resistance that includes Q148 $+ \ge 2$ secondary mutations from G140A/C/S, E138A/K/T, L74I, modelling suggests that an increased dose may be considered for patients with limited treatment options (less than 2 active agents) due to advanced multi class resistance (see section 5.2).

The decision to use dolutegravir for such patients should be informed by the integrase resistance pattern (see section 5.1).

Co-administration of Tivicay with some medicines should be avoided in this population (e.g. efavirenz, nevirapine, tipranavir/ritonavir, or rifampicin). Please refer to section 4.4 and 4.5.

Missed doses

If the patient misses a dose of Tivicay, the patient should take Tivicay as soon as possible, providing the next dose is not due within 4 hours. If the next dose is due within 4 hours, the patient should not take the missed dose and simply resume the usual dosing schedule.

Adolescents aged 12 and above

In adolescents (aged from 12 to 17 years and weighing at least 40 kg) infected with HIV-1 without resistance to the integrase class, the recommended dose of dolutegravir is 50 mg once daily.

Elderly

There are limited data available on the use of dolutegravir in patients aged 65 years and over. There is no evidence that elderly patients require a different dose than younger adult patients (see section 5.2).

Renal impairment

No dosage adjustment is required in patients with mild, moderate or severe (CrCl < 30 mL/min, not on dialysis) renal impairment. No data are available in subjects receiving dialysis although differences in pharmacokinetics are not expected in this population (see section 5.2).

Hepatic impairment

No dosage adjustment is required in patients with mild or moderate hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh grade A or B). No data are available in patients with severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh grade C); therefore dolutegravir should be used with caution in these patients (see section 5.2).

Paediatric population

The safety and efficacy of Tivicay in children aged less than 12 years or weighing less than 40 kg has not yet been established. In the presence of integrase inhibitor resistance, there are insufficient data to recommend a dose for Tivicay in children and adolescents. Currently available data are described in section 4.8, 5.1 and 5.2, but no recommendation on a posology can be made.

Method of administration

Oral use.

Tivicay can be taken with or without food (see section 5.2). In the presence of integrase class resistance, Tivicay should preferably be taken with food to enhance exposure (particularly in patients with Q148 mutations) (see section 5.2).

4.3 Contraindications

Hypersensitivity to the active substance or to any of the excipients listed in section 6.1. Co-administration with dofetilide (see section 4.5).

4.4 Special warnings and precautions for use

While effective viral suppression with antiretroviral therapy has been proven to substantially reduce the risk of sexual transmission, a residual risk cannot be excluded. Precautions to prevent transmission should be taken in accordance with national guidelines.

<u>Integrase class resistance of particular concern</u>

The decision to use dolutegravir in the presence of integrase class resistance should take into account that the activity of dolutegravir is considerably compromised for viral strains harbouring Q148+≥2 secondary mutations from G140A/C/S, E138A/K/T, L74I (see section 5.1). To what extent dolutegravir provides added efficacy in the presence of such integrase class resistance is uncertain (see section 5.2).

Hypersensitivity reactions

Hypersensitivity reactions have been reported with dolutegravir, and were characterized by rash, constitutional findings, and sometimes, organ dysfunction, including severe liver reactions. Dolutegravir and other suspect agents should be discontinued immediately if signs or symptoms of hypersensitivity reactions develop (including, but not limited to, severe rash or rash accompanied by raised liver enzymes, fever, general malaise, fatigue, muscle or joint aches, blisters, oral lesions, conjunctivitis, facial oedema, eosinophilia, angioedema). Clinical status including liver aminotransferases and bilirubin should be monitored. Delay in stopping treatment with dolutegravir or other suspect active substances after the onset of hypersensitivity may result in a life-threatening allergic reaction.

Immune Reactivation Syndrome

In HIV-infected patients with severe immune deficiency at the time of institution of combination antiretroviral therapy (CART), an inflammatory reaction to asymptomatic or residual opportunistic pathogens may arise and cause serious clinical conditions, or aggravation of symptoms. Typically, such reactions have been observed within the first few weeks or months of initiation of CART. Relevant examples are cytomegalovirus retinitis, generalised and/or focal mycobacterial infections, and *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia. Any inflammatory symptoms should be evaluated and treatment instituted when necessary. Autoimmune disorders (such as Graves' disease) have also been reported to occur in the setting of immune reconstitution, however, the reported time to onset is more variable and these events can occur many months after initiation of treatment.

Liver biochemistry elevations consistent with immune reconstitution syndrome were observed in some hepatitis B and/or C co-infected patients at the start of dolutegravir therapy. Monitoring of liver biochemistries is recommended in patients with hepatitis B and/or C co-infection. Particular diligence should be applied in initiating or maintaining effective hepatitis B therapy (referring to treatment guidelines) when starting dolutegravir-based therapy in hepatitis B co-infected patients (see section 4.8).

Opportunistic infections

Patients should be advised that dolutegravir or any other antiretroviral therapy does not cure HIV infection and that they may still develop opportunistic infections and other complications of HIV infection. Therefore, patients should remain under close clinical observation by physicians experienced in the treatment of these associated HIV diseases.

Drug interactions

Factors that decrease dolutegravir exposure should be avoided in the presence of integrase class resistance. This includes co-administration with medicinal products that reduce dolutegravir exposure (e.g. magnesium/ aluminium-containing antacid, iron and calcium supplements, multivitamins and inducing agents, etravirine (without boosted protease inhibitors), tipranavir/ritonavir, rifampicin, St. John's wort and certain anti-epileptic drugs) (see section 4.5).

Dolutegravir increased metformin concentrations. A dose adjustment of metformin should be considered when starting and stopping coadministration of dolutegravir with metformin, to maintain glycaemic control (see section 4.5). Metformin is eliminated renally and therefore it is of importance to monitor renal function when co-treated with dolutegravir. This combination may increase the risk for lactic acidosis in patients with moderate renal impairment (stage 3a creatinine clearance [CrCl] 45–59 mL/min) and a cautious approach is recommended. Reduction of the metformin dose should be highly considered.

Osteonecrosis

Although the aetiology is considered to be multifactorial (including corticosteroid use, biphosphonates, alcohol consumption, severe immunosuppression, higher body mass index), cases of osteonecrosis have been reported in patients with advanced HIV-disease and/or long-term exposure to CART. Patients should be advised to seek medical advice if they experience joint aches and pain, joint stiffness or difficulty in movement.

4.5 Interaction with other medicinal products and other forms of interaction

Effect of other agents on the pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir

All factors that decrease dolutegravir exposure should be avoided in the presence of integrase class resistance.

Dolutegravir is eliminated mainly through metabolism by UGT1A1. Dolutegravir is also a substrate of UGT1A3, UGT1A9, CYP3A4, Pgp, and BCRP; therefore medicinal products that induce those enzymes may decrease dolutegravir plasma concentration and reduce the therapeutic effect of dolutegravir (see Table 1). Co-administration of dolutegravir and other medicinal products that inhibit these enzymes may increase dolutegravir plasma concentration (see Table 1).

The absorption of dolutegravir is reduced by certain anti-acid agents (see Table 1).

Effect of dolutegravir on the pharmacokinetics of other agents

In vivo, dolutegravir did not have an effect on midazolam, a CYP3A4 probe. Based on *in vivo* and/or *in vitro* data, dolutegravir is not expected to affect the pharmacokinetics of medicinal products that are substrates of any major enzyme or transporter such as CYP3A4, CYP2C9 and P-gp (for more information see section 5.2).

In vitro, dolutegravir inhibited the renal organic cation transporter 2 (OCT2) and multidrug and toxin extrusion transporter (MATE) 1. *In vivo*, a 10-14% decrease of creatinine clearance (secretory fraction is dependent on OCT2 and MATE-1 transport) was observed in patients. *In vivo*, dolutegravir may increase plasma concentrations of medicinal products in which excretion is dependent upon OCT2 or MATE-1 (e.g. dofetilide, metformin) (see Table 1 and section 4.3).

In vitro, dolutegravir inhibited the renal uptake transporters, organic anion transporters (OAT1) and OAT3. Based on the lack of effect on the *in vivo* pharmacokinetics of the OAT substrate tenofovir, *in vivo* inhibition of OAT1 is unlikely. Inhibition of OAT3 has not been studied *in vivo*. Dolutegravir may increase plasma concentrations of medical products in which excretion is dependent upon OAT3.

Established and theoretical interactions with selected antiretrovirals and non-antiretroviral medicinal products are listed in Table 1.

Interaction table

Interactions between dolutegravir and co-administered medicinal products are listed in Table 1 (increase is indicated as " \uparrow ", decrease as " \downarrow ", no change as " \leftrightarrow ", area under the concentration versus time curve as "AUC", maximum observed concentration as "Cmax", concentration at end of dosing interval as "C τ ").

Table 1: Drug Interactions

Medicinal products	Interaction	Recommendations concerning				
by therapeutic areas	Geometric mean change	co-administration				
	(%)					
HIV-1 Antiviral Agent	HIV-1 Antiviral Agents					
Non-nucleoside Reverse	e Transcriptase Inhibitors					
Etravirine without	Dolutegravir ↓	Etravirine without boosted protease inhibitors				
boosted protease	AUC ↓ 71%	decreased plasma dolutegravir concentration. The				
inhibitors	$C_{\text{max}} \downarrow 52\%$	recommended dose of dolutegravir is 50 mg twice				
	Cτ ↓ 88%	daily when co-administered with etravirine without				
		boosted protease inhibitors. Dolutegravir should				
	Etravirine ↔	not be used with etravirine without co-				
	(induction of UGT1A1 and	administration of atazanavir/ritonavir,				
	CYP3A enzymes)	darunavir/ritonavir or lopinavir/ritonavir in INI-				
		resistant patients (see further below in table).				
Lopinavir/ritonavir +	Dolutegravir ↔	No dose adjustment is necessary.				
etravirine	AUC ↑ 11%					
	C _{max} ↑ 7%					
	Cτ ↑ 28%					
	LPV ↔					
D	RTV ↔	N. I. II.				
Darunavir/ritonavir +	Dolutegravir ↓	No dose adjustment is necessary.				
etravirine	AUC ↓ 25%					
	$ \begin{array}{c} C_{\text{max}} \downarrow 12\% \\ C\tau \downarrow 36\% \end{array} $					
	$DRV \leftrightarrow$					
Efavirenz	RTV ↔ Dolutegravir ↓	The recommended does of delutegravir is 50 mg				
Elavifeliz	AUC ↓ 57%	The recommended dose of dolutegravir is 50 mg twice daily when co-administered with efavirenz.				
	$C_{\text{max}} \downarrow 39\%$	In the presence of integrase class resistance				
	$C_{\text{max}} \checkmark 39\%$ $C\tau \checkmark 75\%$	alternative combinations that do not include				
	Ct ¥ 7370	efavirenz should be considered (see section 4.4).				
	Efavirenz ↔ (historical	Cravitenz should be considered (see section 4.4).				
	controls)					
	(induction of UGT1A1 and					
	CYP3A enzymes)					
Nevirapine	Dolutegravir ↓	The recommended dose of dolutegravir is 50 mg				

	(Not studied, a similar	twice daily when co-administered with nevirapine.
	reduction in exposure as	In the presence of integrase class resistance
	observed with efavirenz is	alternative combinations that do not include
	expected, due to induction)	nevirapine should be considered (see section 4.4).
Rilpivirine	Dolutegravir ↔	No dose adjustment is necessary.
	AUC ↑ 12%	
	C _{max} ↑ 13%	
	Cτ ↑ 22%	
	Rilpivirine ↔	
Nucleoside Reverse Tra		
Tenofovir	Dolutegravir ↔	No dose adjustment is necessary.
	AUC↑1%	
	$C_{\text{max}} \downarrow 3\%$	
	$C\tau \downarrow 8\%$	
	Tenofovir ↔	
D 4 I 1.: 1.: 4	Tellolovii ↔	
Protease Inhibitors Atazanavir	Dolutegravir ↑	No doce odinate antice management
Atazanavir		No dose adjustment is necessary.
	AUC ↑ 91%	
	C _{max} ↑ 50%	Tivicay should not be dosed higher than 50 mg
	Cτ ↑ 180%	twice daily in combination with atazanavir (see
		section 5.2) due to lack of data
	Atazanavir ↔ (historical	
	controls)	
	(inhibition of UGT1A1 and	
	CYP3A enzymes)	
Atazanavir/ritonavir	Dolutegravir ↑	No dose adjustment is necessary.
	AUC ↑ 62%	
	C _{max} ↑ 34%	Tivicay should not be dosed higher than 50 mg
	$C\tau \uparrow 121\%$	twice daily in combination with atazanavir (see
	00 12170	section 5.2) due to lack of data.
	Atazanavir ↔	section 3.2) due to luck of dutu.
	Ritonavir ↔	
	(inhibition of UGT1A1 and	
	3	
T:	CYP3A enzymes)	Th
Tipranavir/ritonavir	Dolutegravir ↓	The recommended dose of dolutegravir is 50 mg
(TPV+RTV)	AUC ↓ 59%	twice daily when co-administered with
	$C_{\text{max}} \downarrow 47\%$	tipranavir/ritonavir the absence of integrase class
	Cτ ↓ 76%	resistance.
	(induction of UGT1A1 and	In the presence of integrase class resistance this
	CYP3A enzymes)	combination should be avoided (see section 4.4).
Fosamprenavir/	Dolutegravir ↓	No dose adjustment is necessary in the absence of
ritonavir (FPV+RTV)	AUC ↓ 35%	integrase class resistance.
	$C_{max} \downarrow 24\%$	In the presence of integrase class resistance
	Cτ √ 49%	alternative combinations that do not include
	(induction of UGT1A1 and	fosamprenavir/ritonavir should be considered.
	CYP3A enzymes)	The state of the s
Nelfinavir	Dolutegravir ↔	No dose adjustment is necessary.
1 tollina vii	(Not studied)	110 dose adjustment is necessary.
Dominovialaito		No dosa adjustment is necessari
Darunavir/ritonavir	Dolutegravir ↓	No dose adjustment is necessary.
	AUC ↓ 22%	
	$C_{\text{max}} \downarrow 11\%$	
	$C_{24} \downarrow 38\%$	
	(induction of UGT1A1 and	

	CYP3A enzymes)	
Lopinavir/ritonavir	Dolutegravir ↔	No dose adjustment is necessary.
1	AUC ↓ 4%	
	$C_{max} \leftrightarrow 0\%$	
	$C_{24} \downarrow 6\%$	
Other Antiviral agent		
Telaprevir	Dolutegravir 1	No dose adjustment is necessary.
Tetaprevii	AUC ↑ 25%	110 dose adjustment is necessary.
	C _{max} ↑ 19%	
	Cτ ↑ 37%	
	Telaprevir ↔	
	(historical controls)	
	(inhibition of CYP3A	
	enzyme)	
Boceprevir	Dolutegravir ↔	No dose adjustment is necessary.
p	AUC ↑ 7%	
	C _{max} ↑ 5%	
	Cτ ↑ 8%	
	Boceprevir ↔	
	(historical controls)	
	(
Daclatasvir	Dolutegravir ↔	Daclatasvir did not change dolutegravir plasma
	AUC↑33%	concentration to a clinically relevant extent.
	C _{max} ↑ 29%	Dolutegravir did not change daclatasvir plasma
	Cτ 1 45%	concentration. No dose adjustment is necessary.
	Daclatasvir ↔	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Other agents	•	
Antiarrhythmics		
Dofetilide	Dofetilide ↑	Dolutegravir and dofetilide co-administration is
	(Not studied, potential	contraindicated due to potential life-threatening
	increase via inhibition of	toxicity caused by high dofetilide concentration
	OCT2 transporter)	(see section 4.3).
Anticonvulsants		
Carbamazepine	Dolutegravir ↓	The recommended dose of dolutegravir is 50 mg
	AUC ↓ 49%	twice daily when co-administered with
	$C_{\text{max}} \downarrow 33\%$	carbamazepine. Alternatives to carbamazepine
	Cτ √ 73%	should be used where possible for INI resistant
		patients.
Oxcarbazepine	Dolutegravir ↓	The recommended dose of dolutegravir is 50 mg
Phenytoin	(Not studied, decrease	twice daily when co-administered with these
Phenobarbital	expected due to induction of	metabolic inducers. Alternative combinations that
	UGT1A1 and CYP3A	do not include these metabolic inducers should be
	enzymes, a similar reduction	used where possible in INI-resistant patients.
	in exposure as observed	
	with carbamazepine is	
	expected)	
Azole anti-fungal agen		
Ketoconazole	Dolutegravir ↔	No dose adjustment is necessary. Based on data
Fluconazole	(Not studied)	from other CYP3A4 inhibitors, a marked increase
Itraconazole		is not expected.
Posaconazole		
Voriconazole		
Herbal products		

St. John's wort Antacids and supplement Magnesium/	Dolutegravir ↓ (Not studied, decrease expected due to induction of UGT1A1 and CYP3A enzymes, a similar reduction in exposure as observed with carbamazepine is expected) its Dolutegravir ↓	The recommended dose of dolutegravir is 50 mg twice daily when co-administered with St. John's wort. Alternative combinations that do not include St. John's wort should be used where possible in INI-resistant patients. Magnesium/ aluminium-containing antacid should
aluminium-containing antacid	AUC \downarrow 74% $C_{max} \downarrow$ 72% (Complex binding to polyvalent ions)	be taken well separated in time from the administration of dolutegravir (minimum 2 hours after or 6 hours before).
Calcium supplements	Dolutegravir \downarrow $AUC \downarrow 39\%$ $C_{max} \downarrow 37\%$ $C_{24} \downarrow 39\%$ (Complex binding to polyvalent ions)	Calcium supplements, iron supplements or multivitamins should be taken well separated in time from the administration of dolutegravir (minimum 2 hours after or 6 hours before).
Iron supplements	Dolutegravir \downarrow $AUC \downarrow 54\%$ $C_{max} \downarrow 57\%$ $C_{24} \downarrow 56\%$ (Complex binding to polyvalent ions)	
Multivitamin	Dolutegravir \downarrow $AUC \downarrow 33\%$ $C_{max} \downarrow 35\%$ $C_{24} \downarrow 32\%$ (Complex binding to polyvalent ions)	
Corticosteroids	11	
Prednisone	Dolutegravir \leftrightarrow AUC ↑ 11% $C_{max} ↑ 6\%$ $C\tau ↑ 17%$	No dose adjustment is necessary.
Antidiabetics		
Metformin Antimycobacterials	Metformin ↑ When co-administered with dolutegravir 50mg once daily: Metformin AUC ↑ 79% C _{max} ↑ 66% When co-administered with dolutegravir 50mg twice daily: Metformin AUC ↑ 145 % C _{max} ↑ 111%	A dose adjustment of metformin should be considered when starting and stopping coadministration of dolutegravir with metformin, to maintain glycaemic control. In patients with moderate renal impairment a dose adjustment of metformin should be considered when coadministered with dolutegravir, because of the increased risk for lactic acidosis in patients with moderate renal impairment due to increased metformin concentration (section 4.4).
Rifampicin	Dolutegravir↓	The recommended dose of dolutegravir is 50 mg
Tarampioni	201410514111 4	The recommended dobe of dolding lavir is 50 mg

	$\begin{array}{c} AUC \downarrow 54\% \\ C_{max} \downarrow 43\% \\ C\tau \downarrow 72\% \\ \text{(induction of UGT1A1 and} \end{array}$	twice daily when co-administered with rifampicin in the absence of integrase class resistance. In the presence of integrase class resistance this combination should be avoided (see section 4.4).
	CYP3A enzymes)	
Rifabutin	Dolutegravir \leftrightarrow $AUC \downarrow 5\%$ $C_{max} \uparrow 16\%$ $C\tau \downarrow 30\%$	No dose adjustment is necessary.
	(induction of UGT1A1 and CYP3A enzymes)	
Oral contraceptives		
Ethinyl estradiol (EE) and Norelgestromin (NGMN)	Dolutegravir \leftrightarrow EE \leftrightarrow AUC \uparrow 3% $C_{max} \downarrow$ 1% NGMN \leftrightarrow	Dolutegravir had no pharmacodynamic effect on Luteinizing Hormone (LH), Follicle Stimulating Hormone (FSH) and progesterone. No dose adjustment of oral contraceptives is necessary when co-administered with dolutegravir.
	$\begin{array}{c} AUC \downarrow 2\% \\ C_{max} \downarrow 11\% \end{array}$	
Analgesics		
Methadone	Dolutegravir \leftrightarrow Methadone \leftrightarrow AUC \downarrow 2% C _{max} \leftrightarrow 0% C τ \downarrow 1%	No dose adjustment is necessary of either agent.

Paediatric population

Interaction studies have only been performed in adults.

4.6 Fertility, pregnancy and lactation

Pregnancy

There are limited amount of data from the use of dolutegravir in pregnant women. The effect of dolutegravir on human pregnancy is unknown. In reproductive toxicity studies in animals, dolutegravir was shown to cross the placenta. Animal studies do not indicate direct or indirect harmful effects with respect to reproductive toxicity (see section 5.3). Dolutegravir should be used during pregnancy only if the expected benefit justifies the potential risk to the foetus.

Breast-feeding

It is unknown whether dolutegravir is excreted in human milk. Available toxicological data in animals has shown excretion of dolutegravir in milk. In lactating rats that received a single oral dose of 50 mg/kg at 10 days postpartum, dolutegravir was detected in milk at concentrations typically higher than blood. It is recommended that HIV infected women do not breast-feed their infants under any circumstances in order to avoid transmission of HIV.

Fertility

There are no data on the effects of dolutegravir on human male or female fertility. Animal studies indicate no effects of dolutegravir on male or female fertility (see section 5.3).

4.7 Effects on ability to drive and use machines

There have been no studies to investigate the effect of dolutegravir on driving performance or the ability to operate machines. However, patients should be informed that dizziness has been reported during treatment with dolutegravir. The clinical status of the patient and the adverse reaction profile of dolutegravir should be borne in mind when considering the patient's ability to drive or operate machinery.

4.8 Undesirable effects

Summary of the safety profile

The safety profile is based on pooled data from Phase IIb and Phase III clinical studies in 1222 previously untreated patients, 357 previously treated patients unexposed to integrase inhibitors and 264 patients with prior treatment failure that included an integrase inhibitor (including integrase class resistance). The most severe adverse reaction, seen in an individual patient, was a hypersensitivity reaction that included rash and severe liver effects (see section 4.4). The most commonly seen treatment emergent adverse reactions were nausea (13%), diarrhoea (18%) and headache (13%).

The safety profile was similar across the different treatment populations mentioned above.

Tabulated list of adverse reactions

The adverse reactions considered at least possibly related to dolutegravir are listed by body system, organ class and absolute frequency. Frequencies are defined as very common ($\geq 1/100$), common ($\geq 1/100$ to <1/10), uncommon ($\geq 1/1,000$), rare ($\geq 1/10,000$) to <1/1,000), very rare (<1/10,000).

Table 2 Adverse Reactions

Immuno system	Unaamman	Hypercancitivity (see section 4.4)	
Immune system	Uncommon	Hypersensitivity (see section 4.4)	
disorders	Uncommon	Immune Reconstitution Syndrome (see section 4.4)**	
Psychiatric disorders	Common	Insomnia	
	Common	Abnormal dreams	
	Common	Depression	
	Uncommon	Suicidal ideation or suicide attempt (particularly in	
		patients with a pre-existing history of depression or	
		psychiatric illness)	
Nervous system	Very common	Headache	
disorders	Common	Dizziness	
Gastrointestinal	Very common	Nausea	
disorders	Very common	Diarrhoea	
	Common	Vomiting	
	Common	Flatulence	
	Common	Upper abdominal pain	
	Common	Abdominal pain	
	Common	Abdominal discomfort	
Hepatobiliary	Uncommon	Hepatitis	
disorders			
Skin and	Common	Rash	
subcutaneous tissue	Common	Pruritus	
disorders			
General disorders	Common	Fatigue	
and administration			
site conditions			
Investigations	Common	Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and/or Aspartate	
		aminotransferase (AST) elevations	
	Common	Creatine phosphokinase (CPK) elevations	

^{**}see below under Description of selected adverse reactions.

Description of selected adverse reactions

Changes in laboratory biochemistries

Increases in serum creatinine occurred within the first week of treatment with dolutegravir and remained stable through 48 weeks. A mean change from baseline of 9.96 μ mol/L was observed after 48 weeks of treatment. Creatinine increases were comparable by various background regimens. These changes are not considered to be clinically relevant since they do not reflect a change in glomerular filtration rate.

Co-infection with Hepatitis B or C

In Phase III studies patients with hepatitis B and/or C co-infection were permitted to enrol provided that baseline liver chemistry tests did not exceed 5 times the upper limit of normal (ULN). Overall, the safety profile in patients co-infected with hepatitis B and/or C was similar to that observed in patients without hepatitis B or C co-infection, although the rates of AST and ALT abnormalities were higher in the subgroup with hepatitis B and/or C co-infection for all treatment groups. Liver chemistry elevations consistent with immune reconstitution syndrome were observed in some subjects with hepatitis B and/or C co-infection at the start of dolutegravir therapy, particularly in those whose anti-hepatitis B therapy was withdrawn (see section 4.4).

Immune response syndrome

In HIV-infected patients with severe immune deficiency at the time of initiation of combination antiretroviral therapy (CART), an inflammatory reaction to asymptomatic or residual opportunistic infections may arise. Autoimmune disorders (such as Graves' disease) have also been reported; however, the reported time to onset is more variable and these events can occur many months after initiation of treatment (see section 4.4).

Paediatric population

Based on limited available data in adolescents (12 to less than 18 years of age and weighing at least 40 kg), there were no additional types of adverse reactions beyond those observed in the adult population.

Reporting of suspected adverse reactions

Reporting suspected adverse reactions after authorisation of the medicinal product is important. It allows continued monitoring of the benefit/risk balance of the medicinal product. Healthcare professionals are asked to report any suspected adverse reactions to the Ministry of Health by using an online form

http://forms.gov.il/globaldata/getsequence/getsequence.aspx?formType=AdversEffectMedic @moh.health.gov.il) or by email (adr@MOH.HEALTH.GOV.IL). Additionally, you should also report to GSK Israel (il.safety@gsk.com).

4.9 Overdose

There is currently limited experience with overdosage in dolutegravir.

Limited experience of single higher doses (up to 250 mg in healthy subjects) revealed no specific symptoms or signs, apart from those listed as adverse reactions.

Further management should be as clinically indicated or as recommended by the national poisons centre, where available. There is no specific treatment for an overdose of dolutegravir. If overdose occurs, the patient should be treated supportively with appropriate monitoring, as necessary. As dolutegravir is highly bound to plasma proteins, it is unlikely that it will be significantly removed by dialysis.

5. PHARMACOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

5.1 Pharmacodynamic properties

Pharmacotherapeutic group: Antivirals for systemic use, other antivirals, ATC code: J05AX12

Mechanism of action

Dolutegravir inhibits HIV integrase by binding to the integrase active site and blocking the strand transfer step of retroviral Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) integration which is essential for the HIV replication cycle.

Pharmacodynamic effects

Antiviral activity in cell culture

The IC50 for dolutegravir in various labstrains using PBMC was 0.5 nM, and when using MT-4 cells it ranged from 0.7-2 nM. Similar IC50s were seen for clinical isolates without any major difference between subtypes; in a panel of 24 HIV-1 isolates of clades A, B, C, D, E, F

and G and group O the mean IC50 value was 0.2 nM (range 0.02-2.14). The mean IC50 for 3 HIV-2 isolates was 0.18 nM (range 0.09-0.61).

Antiviral activity in combination with other antiviral agents

No antagonistic effects *in vitro* were seen with dolutegravir and other antiretrovirals tested: stavudine, abacavir, efavirenz, nevirapine, lopinavir, amprenavir, enfuvirtide, maraviroc and raltegravir. In addition, no antagonistic effects were seen for dolutegravir and adefovir, and ribavirin had no apparent effect on dolutegravir activity.

Effect of human serum

In 100% human serum, the mean protein fold shift was 75 fold, resulting in protein adjusted IC90 of 0.064 ug/mL.

Resistance

Resistance in vitro

Serial passage is used to study resistance evolution *in vitro*. When using the lab-strain HIV-1 IIIB during passage over 112 days, mutations selected appeared slowly, with substitutions at positions S153Y and F, resulting in a maximal fold change in susceptibility of 4 (range 2-4). These mutations were not selected in patients treated with dolutegravir in the clinical studies. Using strain NL432, mutations E92Q (FC 3) and G193E (also FC 3) were selected. The E92Q mutation has been selected in patients with pre-existing raltegravir resistance who were then treated with dolutegravir (listed as a secondary mutation for dolutegravir).

In further selection experiments using clinical isolates of subtype B, mutation R263K was seen in all five isolates (after 20 weeks and onwards). In subtype C (n=2) and A/G (n=2) isolates the integrase substitution R263K was selected in one isolate, and G118R in two isolates. R263K was reported from two ART experienced, INI naive individual patients with subtypes B and C in the clinical program, but without effects on dolutegravir susceptibility *in vitro*. G118R lowers the susceptibility to dolutegravir in site directed mutants (FC 10), but was not detected in patients receiving dolutegravir in the Phase III program.

Primary mutations for raltegravir/elvitegravir (Q148H/R/K, N155H, Y143R/H/C, E92Q and T66I) do not affect the *in vitro* susceptibility of dolutegravir as single mutations. When mutations listed as secondary integrase inhibitor associated mutations (for raltegravir/elvitegravir) are added to these primary mutations in experiments with site directed mutants, dolutegravir susceptibility is still unchanged (FC <2 vs wild type virus), except in the case of Q148-mutations, where a FC of 5-10 or higher is seen with combinations of certain secondary mutations. The effect by the Q148-mutations (H/R/K) was also verified in passage experiments with site directed mutants. In serial passage with strain NL432, starting with site directed mutants harbouring N155H or E92Q, no further selection of resistance was seen (FC unchanged around 1). In contrast, starting with mutants harbouring mutation Q148H (FC 1), a variety of secondary mutations were seen with a consequent increase of FC to values >10.

A clinically relevant phenotypic cut-off value (FC vs wild type virus) has not been determined; genotypic resistance was a better predictor for outcome.

Seven hundred and five raltegravir resistant isolates from raltegravir experienced patients were analyzed for susceptibility to dolutegravir. Dolutegravir has a less than or equal to 10 FC against 94% of the 705 clinical isolates.

Resistance in vivo

In previously untreated patients receiving dolutegravir + 2 NRTIs in Phase IIb and Phase III, no development of resistance to the integrase class, or to the NRTI class was seen (n=1118 follow-up of 48-96 weeks).

In patients with prior failed therapies, but naïve to the integrase class (SAILING study), integrase inhibitor substitutions were observed in 4/354 patients (follow-up 48 weeks) treated with dolutegravir, which was given in combination with an investigator selected background regimen (BR). Of these four, two subjects had a unique R263K integrase substitution, with a maximum FC of 1.93, one subject had a polymorphic V151V/I integrase substitution, with maximum FC of 0.92, and one subject had pre-existing integrase mutations and is assumed to have been integrase experienced or infected with integrase resistant virus by transmission. The R263K mutation was also selected *in vitro* (see above).

In the presence of integrase class-resistance (VIKING-3 study) the following mutations were selected in 32 patients with protocol defined virological failure (PDVF) through Week 24 and with paired genotypes (all treated with dolutegravir 50 mg twice daily + optimized background agents): L74L/M (n=1), E92Q (n=2), T97A (n=9), E138K/A/T (n=8), G140S (n=2), Y143H (n=1), S147G (n=1), Q148H/K/R (n=4), and N155H (n=1) and E157E/Q (n=1). Treatment emergent integrase resistance typically appeared in patients with a history of the Q148-mutation (baseline or historic). Five further subjects experienced PDVF between weeks 24 and 48, and 2 of these 5 had treatment emergent mutations. Treatment-emergent mutations or mixtures of mutations observed were L74I (n=1), N155H (n=2).

The VIKING-4 study examined dolutegravir (plus optimized background therapy) in subjects with primary genotypic resistance to INIs at Screening in 30 subjects. Treatment-emergent mutations observed were consistent with those observed in the VIKING-3 study.

Effects on electrocardiogram

No relevant effects were seen on the QTc interval, with doses exceeding the clinical dose by approximately three fold.

Clinical efficacy and safety

Previously untreated patients

The efficacy of dolutegravir in HIV-infected, therapy naïve subjects is based on the analyses of 96-week data from two randomized, international, double-blind, active-controlled trials, SPRING-2 (ING113086) and SINGLE (ING114467). This is supported by 48 week data from an open-label, randomized and active-controlled study FLAMINGO (ING114915).

In SPRING-2, 822 adults were randomized and received at least one dose of either dolutegravir 50 mg once daily or raltegravir (RAL) 400 mg twice daily, both administered with either ABC/3TC or TDF/FTC. At baseline, median patient age was 36 years, 14% were female, 15% non-white, 11% had hepatitis B and/or C co-infection and 2% were CDC Class C, these characteristics were similar between treatment groups.

In SINGLE, 833 subjects were randomized and received at least one dose of either dolutegravir 50 mg once daily with fixed-dose abacavir-lamivudine (DTG + ABC/3TC) or fixed-dose efavirenz-tenofovir-emtricitabine (EFV/TDF/FTC). At baseline, median patient age was 35 years, 16% were female, 32% non-white, 7% had hepatitis C co-infection and 4% were CDC Class C, these characteristics were similar between treatment groups.

The primary endpoint and other week 48 outcomes (including outcomes by key baseline

covariates) for SPRING-2 and SINGLE are shown in Table 3.

 $Table\ 3\ Response\ in\ SPRING-2\ and\ SINGLE\ at\ 48\ Weeks\ (Snapshot\ algorithm, <50$

copies/mL)

copies/iiiL)	SPRING-2		SINGLE		
	Dolutegravir RAL 400 mg		Dolutegravir	EFV/TDF/FTC	
	50 mg Once	Twice Daily + 2	50 mg +	Once Daily	
	Daily + 2 NRTI	NRTI	ABC/3TC Once	N=419	
	N=411	NR11 N=411	Daily	N=419	
	N=411	N=411	N=414		
HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL	88%	85%	88%	81%	
Treatment Difference*	2.5% (95% CI		7.4% (95% CI:		
	2.3% (93% CI 5%	8%	7.4% (93% CI. 5%	6%	
Virologic non-response†	/-1 RNA <50 copies		- 11	0%	
Baseline Viral Load	/-1 KNA <50 copie; 	s/ml by basenne c	ovariates		
(cps/mL)	267 (207 (000))	264 (205 (200))	252 (200 (000))	220 / 200 / 020/)	
≤100,000	267 / 297 (90%)	264 / 295 (89%)	253 / 280 (90%)	238 / 288 (83%)	
>100,000	94 / 114 (82%)	87 / 116 (75%)	111 / 134 (83%)	100 / 131 (76%)	
Baseline CD4+ (cells/ mm ³)					
<200	43 / 55 (78%)	34 / 50 (68%)	45 / 57 (79%)	48 / 62 (77%)	
200 to <350	128 / 144 (89%)	118 / 139 (85%)	143 / 163 (88%)	126 / 159 (79%)	
≥350	190 / 212 (90%)	199 / 222 (90%)	176 / 194 (91%)	164 / 198 (83%)	
NRTI backbone					
ABC/3TC	145 / 169 (86%)	142 / 164 (87%)	N/A	N/A	
TDF/FTC	216 / 242 (89%)	209 / 247 (85%)	N/A	N/A	
Gender					
Male	308 / 348 (89%)	305 / 355 (86%)	307 / 347 (88%)	291 / 356 (82%)	
Female	53 / 63 (84%)	46 / 56 (82%)	57 / 67 (85%)	47 / 63 (75%)	
Race				•	
White	306 / 346 (88%)	301 / 352 (86%)	255 / 284 (90%)	238 /285 (84%)	
African-America/African	` '	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,	
Heritage/Other	55 / 65 (85%)	50 / 59 (85%)	109 / 130 (84%)	99 / 133 (74%)	
Age (years)					
<50	324/370 (88%)	312/365 (85%)	319/361 (88%)	302/375 (81%)	
≥50	37/41 (90%)	39/46 (85%)	45/53 (85%)	36/44 (82%)	
Median CD4 change from baseline	230	230	246‡	187‡	
* A live to 1 for the alive a track fire	<u> </u>				

^{*} Adjusted for baseline stratification factors.

At week 48, dolutegravir was non-inferior to raltegravir in the SPRING-2 study, and in the SINGLE study dolutegravir + ABC/3TC was superior to efavirenz/TDF/FTC (p=0.003), table 3 above. In SINGLE, the median time to viral suppression was shorter in the dolutegravir treated patients (28 vs 84 days, (p<0.0001, analysis pre-specified and adjusted for multiplicity).

At week 96, results were consistent with those seen at week 48. In SPRING-2, dolutegravir was still non-inferior to raltegravir (viral suppression in 81% vs 76% of patients), and with a median change in CD4 count of 276 vs 264 cells/mm³, respectively. In SINGLE, dolutegravir + ABC/3TC was still superior to EFV/TDF/FTC (viral suppression in 80% vs 72%, treatment

[†] Includes subjects who changed BR to new class or changed BR not permitted per protocol or due to lack of efficacy prior to Week 48 (for SPRING-2 only), subjects who discontinued prior to Week 48 for lack or loss of efficacy and subjects who are ≥50 copies in the 48 week window.

[†] Adjusted mean treatment difference was statistically significant (p<0.001)

difference 8.0% (2.3, 13.8), p=0.006, and with an adjusted mean change in CD4 count of 325 vs 281 cells/ mm³, respectively.

In FLAMINGO (ING114915), an open-label, randomised and active-controlled study, 484 HIV-1 infected antiretroviral naïve adults received one dose of either dolutegravir 50 mg once daily (n=242) or darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r) 800 mg/100 mg once daily (n=242), both administered with either ABC/3TC or TDF/FTC. At baseline, median patient age was 34 years, 15% were female, 28% non-white, 10% had hepatitis B and/or C co-infection, and 3% were CDC Class C; these characteristics were similar between treatment groups. Virologic suppression (HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL) in the dolutegravir group (90%) was superior to the DRV/r group (83%) at 48 weeks. The adjusted difference in proportion and 95% CI were 7.1% (0.9, 13.2), p=0.025.

Treatment emergent resistance in previously untreated patients failing therapy Through 96 weeks in SPRING-2 and SINGLE, and through 48 weeks of therapy in the FLAMINGO study, no cases of treatment emergent resistance to the integrase- or NRTI-class were seen in the dolutegravir-containing arms. For the comparator arms, the same lack of treatment emergent resistance was also the case for patients treated with darunavir/r in FLAMINGO. In SPRING-2, four patients in the RAL-arm failed with major NRTI mutations and one with raltegravir resistance; in SINGLE, six patients in the EFV/TDF/FTC-arm failed with mutations associated with NNRTI resistance, and one developed a major NRTI mutation.

Patients with prior treatment failure, but not exposed to the integrase class. In the international multicentre, double-blind SAILING study (ING111762), 719 HIV-1 infected, antiretroviral therapy (ART)-experienced adults were randomized and received either dolutegravir 50 mg once daily or raltegravir 400 mg twice daily with investigator selected background regimen consisting of up to 2 agents (including at least one fully active agent). At baseline, median patient age was 43 years, 32% were female, 50% non-white, 16% had hepatitis B and/or C co-infection, and 46% were CDC Class C. All patients had at least two class ART resistance, and 49% of subjects had at least 3-class ART resistance at baseline.

Week 48 outcomes (including outcomes by key baseline covariates) for SAILING are shown in Table 4.

Table 4 Response in SAILING at 48 Weeks (Snapshot algorithm, <50 copies/mL)

Tuble Tresponse in Britzing at 16 Weer	the 4 Response in SAILING at 40 Weeks (Shapshot algorithm, <50 copies/inL)			
	Dolutegravir 50 mg	RAL 400 mg		
	Once Daily + BR	Twice Daily + BR		
	N=354§	N=361§		
HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL	71%	64%		
Adjusted treatment difference‡	7.4% (95% CI: 0	0.7%, 14.2%)		
Virologic non-response	20%	28%		
HIV-1 RNA <50 copies	s/mL by baseline covariate	S		
Baseline Viral Load (copies/mL)				
≤50,000 copies/mL	186 / 249 (75%)	180 / 254 (71%)		
>50,000 copies/mL	65 / 105 (62%)	50 / 107 (47%)		
Baseline CD4+ (cells/ mm ³)				
<50	33 / 62 (53%)	30 / 59 (51%)		
50 to <200	77 / 111 (69%)	76 / 125 (61%)		
200 to <350	64 / 82 (78%)	53 / 79 (67%)		
≥350	77 / 99 (78%)	71 / 98 (72%)		
Background Regimen				
Genotypic Susceptibility Score* <2	155 / 216 (72%)	129 / 192 (67%)		

Genotypic Susceptibility Score* =2	96 / 138 (70%)	101 / 169 (60%)
Use of DRV in background regimen		
No DRV use	143 / 214 (67%)	126 / 209 (60%)
DRV use with primary PI mutations	58 / 68 (85%)	50 / 75 (67%)
DRV use without primary PI mutations	50 / 72 (69%)	54 / 77 (70%)
Gender		
Male	172 / 247 (70%)	156 / 238 (66%)
Female	79 / 107 (74%)	74 / 123 (60%)
Race		
White	133 / 178 (75%)	125 / 175 (71%)
African-America/African Heritage/Other	118 / 175 (67%)	105 / 185 (57%)
Age (years)		
<50	196 / 269 (73%)	172 / 277 (62%)
≥50	55 / 85 (65%)	58 / 84 (69%)
HIV sub type		
Clade B	173 / 241 (72%)	159 / 246 (65%)
Clade C	34 / 55 (62%)	29 / 48 (60%)
Other†	43 / 57 (75%)	42 / 67 (63%)
Mean increase in CD4+ T cell (cells/mm ³)	162	153
	•	

[‡] Adjusted for baseline stratification factors.

In the SAILING study, virologic suppression (HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL) in the Tivicay arm (71%) was statistically superior to the raltegravir arm (64%), at Week 48 (p=0.03).

Statistically fewer subjects failed therapy with treatment-emergent integrase resistance on Tivicay (4/354, 1%) than on raltegravir (17/361, 5%) (p=0.003) (refer to section 'Resistance in vivo' above for details).

<u>Patients with prior treatment failure that included an integrase inhibitor (and integrase class resistance)</u>

In the multicentre, open-label, single arm VIKING-3 study (ING112574), HIV-1 infected, ART-experienced adults with virological failure and current or historical evidence of raltegravir and/or elvitegravir resistance received Tivicay 50 mg twice daily with the current failing background regimen for 7 days but with optimised background ART from Day 8. The study enrolled 183 patients, 133 with INI-resistance at Screening and 50 with only historical evidence of resistance (and not at Screening). Raltegravir/elvitegravir was part of the current failing regimen in 98/183 patients (part of prior failing therapies in the others). At baseline, median patient age was 48 years, 23% were female, 29% non-white, and 20% had hepatitis B and/or C co-infection. Median baseline CD4+ was 140 cells/mm³, median duration of prior ART was 14 years, and 56% were CDC Class C. Subjects showed multiple class ART resistance at baseline: 79% had ≥2 NRTI, 75% ≥1 NNRTI, and 71% ≥2 PI major mutations; 62% had non-R5 virus.

Mean change from baseline in HIV RNA at day 8 (primary endpoint) was $-1.4\log_{10}$ copies/mL (95% CI $-1.3 - -1.5\log_{10}$, p<0.001). Response was associated with baseline INI mutation pathway, as shown in Table 5.

^{§ 4} subjects were excluded from the efficacy analysis due to data integrity at one study site *The Genotypic Susceptibility Score (GSS) was defined as the total number of ARTs in BR to which a subject's viral isolate showed susceptibility at baseline based upon genotypic resistance tests.

[†]Other clades included: Complex (43), F1 (32), A1 (18), BF (14), all others <10.

Table 5 Virologic response (day 8) after 7 days of functional monotherapy, in patients

with RAL/EVG as part of current failing regimen, VIKING 3

Baseline parameters	DTG 50 mg BID N=88*		
	n	Mean (SD) Plasma HIV-1 RNA log ₁₀ c/mL	Median
Derived IN mutation group at Baseline with ongoing RAL/EVG			
Primary mutation other than Q148H/K/R ^a	48	-1.59 (0.47)	-1.64
Q148+1 secondary mutation ^b	26	-1.14 (0.61)	-1.08
Q148+≥2 secondary mutations ^b	14	-0.75 (0.84)	-0.45

*Of 98 on RAL/EVG as part of current failing regimen, 88 had detectable primary INI mutations at Baseline and a Day 8 Plasma HIV-1 RNA outcome for evaluation

In patients without a primary mutation detected at baseline (N=60) (i.e. RAL/EVG not part of current failing therapy) there was a $1.63 \log_{10}$ reduction in viral load at day 8.

After the functional monotherapy phase, subjects had the opportunity to re-optimize their background regimen when possible. The overall response rate through 24 weeks of therapy, 69% (126/183), was generally sustained through 48 weeks with 116/183 (63%) of patients with HIV-1 RNA <50c/mL (ITT-E, Snapshot algorithm). When excluding patients who stopped therapy for non-efficacy reasons, and those with major protocol deviations (incorrect dolutegravir dosing, intake of prohibited co-medication), namely, "the Virological Outcome (VO)-population)", the corresponding response rates were 75% (120/161, week 24) and 69% (111/160, week 48).

The response was lower when the Q148-mutation was present at baseline, and in particular in the presence of ≥ 2 secondary mutations, Table 6. The overall susceptibility score (OSS) of the optimised background regimen (OBR) was not associated with Week 24 response, nor with the week 48 response.

Table 6 Response by baseline Resistance, VIKING-3. VO Population (HIV-1 RNA <50

c/mL. Snapshot algorithm)

	Week 24 (N=161)					Week 48 (N=160)
Derived IN Mutation	OGG A	0.00	0.00	0.00		Total
Group	OSS=0	OSS=1	OSS=2	OSS>2	Total	
No primary IN					45/55	38/55
mutation ¹	2/2 (100%)	15/20 (75%)	19/21 (90%)	9/12 (75%)	(82%)	(69%)
Primary mutation other		20/20			51/59	50/58
than Q148H/K/R ²	2/2 (100%)	(100%)	21/27 (78%)	8/10 (80%)	(86%)	(86%)
Q148 + 1 secondary					20/31	19/31
mutation ³	2/2 (100%)	8/12 (67%)	10/17 (59%)	-	(65%)	(61%)
Q148 +≥2 secondary					4/16	4/16
	1/2 (50%)	2/11 (18%)	1/3 (33%)	-	(25%)	(25%)
mutations ³ Historical or phenotype	` /	` ,	` '	-		

N155H, Y143C/H/R, T66A, E92Q

^a Included primary IN resistance mutations N155H, Y143C/H/R, T66A, E92Q

^b Secondary mutations from G140A/C/S, E138A/K/T, L74I.

G140A/C/S, E138A/K/T, L74I

OSS: combined genotypic and phenotypic resistance (Monogram Biosciences Net Assessment)

The median change in CD4+ T cell count from baseline for VIKING-3 based on observed data was 61 cells/mm³ at Week 24 and 110 cells/mm³ at Week 48.

In the double blind, placebo controlled VIKING-4 study (ING116529), 30 HIV-1 infected, ART-experienced adults with primary genotypic resistance to INIs at Screening, were randomised to receive either dolutegravir 50 mg twice daily or placebo with the current failing regimen for 7 days followed by an open label phase with all subjects receiving dolutegravir. At baseline, median patient age was 49 years, 20% were female, 58% nonwhite, and 23% had hepatitis B and/or C co-infection. Median baseline CD4+ was 160 cells/mm³, median duration of prior ART was 13 years, and 63% were CDC Class C. Subjects showed multiple class ART resistance at baseline: 80% had ≥2 NRTI, 73% ≥1 NNRTI, and 67% ≥2 PI major mutations; 83% had non-R5 virus. Sixteen of 30 subjects (53%) harboured Q148 virus at baseline. The primary endpoint at Day 8 showed that dolutegravir 50 mg twice daily was superior to placebo, with an adjusted mean treatment difference for the change from Baseline in Plasma HIV-1 RNA of -1.2 log₁₀ copies/mL (95% CI -1.5 - -0.8log₁₀ copies/mL, p<0.001). The day 8 responses in this placebo controlled study were fully in line with those seen in VIKING-3 (not placebo controlled), including by baseline integrase resistance categories. At week 48, 12/30 (40%) subjects had HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL (ITT-E, Snapshot algorithm).

In a combined analysis of VIKING-3 and VIKING-4 (n=186, VO population), the proportion of subjects with HIV RNA <50 copies/mL at Week 48 was 126/186 (68%). The proportion of subjects with HIV RNA <50 copies/mL was 96/126 (76%) for No Q148 mutations, 22/41 (54%) for Q148+1 and 5/19 (26%) for Q148+ \ge 2 secondary mutations.

Paediatric population

In a Phase I/II 48 week multicentre, open-label study (P1093/ING112578), the pharmacokinetic parameters, safety, tolerability and efficacy of Tivicay will be evaluated in combination regimens in HIV-1 infected adolescents.

At 24 weeks, 16 of 23 (70%) adolescents (12 to less than 18 years of age) treated with Tivicay once daily (35 mg n=4, 50 mg n=19) plus OBR achieved viral load <50 copies/mL.

Four subjects had virologic failure none of which had INI resistance at the time of virologic failure.

The European Medicines Agency has deferred the obligation to submit the results of studies with Tivicay in paediatric patients aged 4 weeks to below 12 years with HIV infection (see section 4.2 for information on paediatric use).

5.2 Pharmacokinetic properties

Dolutegravir pharmacokinetics are similar between healthy and HIV-infected subjects. The PK variability of dolutegravir is low to moderate. In Phase I studies in healthy subjects, between-subject CVb% for AUC and C_{max} ranged from ~20 to 40% and C_{τ} from 30 to 65% across studies. The between-subject PK variability of dolutegravir was higher in HIV-infected subjects than healthy subjects. Within-subject variability (CVw%) is lower than between-subject variability.

Absorption

Dolutegravir is rapidly absorbed following oral administration, with median T_{max} at 2 to 3 hours post dose for tablet formulation.

Food increased the extent and slowed the rate of absorption of dolutegravir. Bioavailability of dolutegravir depends on meal content: low, moderate, and high fat meals increased dolutegravir $AUC_{(0-\infty)}$ by 33%, 41%, and 66%, increased C_{max} by 46%, 52%, and 67%, prolonged T_{max} to 3, 4, and 5 hours from 2 hours under fasted conditions, respectively. These increases may be clinically relevant in the presence of certain integrase class resistance. Therefore, Tivicay is recommended to be taken with food by patients infected with HIV with integrase class resistance (see section 4.2).

The absolute bioavailability of dolutegravir has not been established.

Distribution

Dolutegravir is highly bound (>99%) to human plasma proteins based on *in vitro* data. The apparent volume of distribution is 17 L to 20 L in HIV-infected patients, based on a population pharmacokinetic analysis. Binding of dolutegravir to plasma proteins is independent of dolutegravir concentration. Total blood and plasma drug-related radioactivity concentration ratios averaged between 0.441 to 0.535, indicating minimal association of radioactivity with blood cellular components. The unbound fraction of dolutegravir in plasma is increased at low levels of serum albumin (<35 g/L) as seen in subjects with moderate hepatic impairment.

Dolutegravir is present in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). In 13 treatment-naïve subjects on a stable dolutegravir plus abacavir/lamivudine regimen, dolutegravir concentration in CSF averaged 18 ng/mL (comparable to unbound plasma concentration, and above the IC50).

Dolutegravir is present in the female and male genital tract. AUC in cervicovaginal fluid, cervical tissue and vaginal tissue were 6-10% of those in corresponding plasma at steady state. AUC in semen was 7% and 17% in rectal tissue of those in corresponding plasma at steady state.

Biotransformation

Dolutegravir is primarily metabolized through glucuronidation via UGT1A1 with a minor CYP3A component. Dolutegravir is the predominant circulating compound in plasma; renal elimination of unchanged active substance is low (< 1% of the dose). Fifty-three percent of total oral dose is excreted unchanged in the faeces. It is unknown if all or part of this is due to unabsorbed active substance or biliary excretion of the glucuronidate conjugate, which can be further degraded to form the parent compound in the gut lumen. Thirty-two percent of the total oral dose is excreted in the urine, represented by ether glucuronide of dolutegravir (18.9% of total dose), N-dealkylation metabolite (3.6% of total dose), and a metabolite formed by oxidation at the benzylic carbon (3.0% of total dose).

Drug interactions

In vitro, dolutegravir demonstrated no direct, or weak inhibition (IC50>50 μM) of the enzymes cytochrome P₄₅₀ (CYP)1A2, CYP2A6, CYP2B6, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6 CYP3A, uridine diphosphate glucuronosyl transferase (UGT)1A1 or UGT2B7, or the transporters Pgp, BCRP, BSEP, OATP1B1, OATP1B3, OCT1, MATE2-K, MRP2 or MRP4. *In vitro*, dolutegravir did not induce CYP1A2, CYP2B6 or CYP3A4. Based on this data, dolutegravir is not expected to affect the pharmacokinetics of medicinal products that are substrates of major enzymes or transporters (see section 4.5).

In vitro, dolutegravir was not a substrate of human OATP 1B1, OATP 1B3 or OCT 1.

Elimination

Dolutegravir has a terminal half-life of \sim 14 hours. The apparent oral clearance (CL/F) is approximately 1L/hr in HIV-infected patients based on a population pharmacokinetic analysis.

Linearity/non-linearity

The linearity of dolutegravir pharmacokinetics is dependent on dose and formulation. Following oral administration of tablet formulations, in general, dolutegravir exhibited nonlinear pharmacokinetics with less than dose-proportional increases in plasma exposure from 2 to 100 mg; however increase in dolutegravir exposure appears dose proportional from 25 mg to 50 mg for the tablet formulation. With 50 mg twice daily, the exposure over 24 hours was approximately doubled compared to 50 mg once daily.

Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic relationship(s)

In a randomized, dose-ranging trial, HIV-1–infected subjects treated with dolutegravir monotherapy (ING111521) demonstrated rapid and dose-dependent antiviral activity, with mean decline in HIV-1 RNA of 2.5 log₁₀ at day 11 for 50 mg dose. This antiviral response was maintained for 3 to 4 days after the last dose in the 50 mg group.

PK/PD modelling using pooled data from clinical studies in integrase resistant patients suggest that increasing the dose from 50 mg twice daily to 100 mg twice daily may increase the effectiveness of dolutegravir in patients with integrase resistance and limited treatment options due to advanced multi class resistance. The proportion of responders (HIV-1 RNA <50 c/mL) at week 24 was predicted to increase around 4-18% in the subjects with Q148 $+ \ge 2$ secondary mutations from G140A/C/S, E138A/K/T, L74I. Although these simulated results have not been confirmed in clinical trials, this high dose may be considered in the presence of the Q148 $+ \ge 2$ secondary mutations from G140A/C/S, E138A/K/T, L74I in patients with overall limited treatment options due to advanced multi class resistance. There is no clinical data on the safety or efficacy of the 100 mg twice daily dose. Co-treatment with atazanavir increases the exposure of dolutegravir markedly, and should not be used in combination with this high dose, since safety with the resulting dolutegravir exposure has not been established.

Special patient populations

Children

The pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir in 10 antiretroviral treatment-experienced HIV-1 infected adolescents (12 to <18 years of age) showed that Tivicay 50 mg once daily oral dosage resulted in dolutegravir exposure comparable to that observed in adults who received Tivicay 50 mg orally once daily.

Elderly

Population pharmacokinetic analysis of dolutegravir using data in HIV-1 infected adults showed that there was no clinically relevant effect of age on dolutegravir exposure.

Pharmacokinetic data for dolutegravir in subjects >65 years of age are limited.

Renal impairment

Renal clearance of unchanged active substance is a minor pathway of elimination for dolutegravir. A study of the pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir was performed in subjects with severe renal impairment (CLcr <30 mL/min) and matched healthy controls. The exposure to dolutegravir was decreased by approximately 40% in subjects with severe renal impairment.

The mechanism for the decrease is unknown. No dosage adjustment is considered necessary for patients with renal impairment. Tivicay has not been studied in patients on dialysis.

Hepatic impairment

Dolutegravir is primarily metabolized and eliminated by the liver. A single dose of 50 mg of dolutegravir was administered to 8 subjects with moderate hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh class B) and to 8 matched healthy adult controls. While the total dolutegravir concentration in plasma was similar, a 1.5- to 2-fold increase in unbound exposure to dolutegravir was observed in subjects with moderate hepatic impairment compared to healthy controls. No dosage adjustment is considered necessary for patients with mild to moderate hepatic impairment. The effect of severe hepatic impairment on the pharmacokinetics of Tivicay has not been studied.

Polymorphisms in drug metabolising enzymes

There is no evidence that common polymorphisms in drug metabolising enzymes alter dolutegravir pharmacokinetics to a clinically meaningful extent. In a meta-analysis using pharmacogenomics samples collected in clinical studies in healthy subjects, subjects with UGT1A1 (n=7) genotypes conferring poor dolutegravir metabolism had a 32% lower clearance of dolutegravir and 46% higher AUC compared with subjects with genotypes associated with normal metabolism via UGT1A1 (n=41).

Gender

Population PK analyses using pooled pharmacokinetic data from Phase IIb and Phase III adult trials revealed no clinically relevant effect of gender on the exposure of dolutegravir.

Race

Population PK analyses using pooled pharmacokinetic data from Phase IIb and Phase III adult trials revealed no clinically relevant effect of race on the exposure of dolutegravir. The pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir following single dose oral administration to Japanese subjects appear similar to observed parameters in Western (US) subjects.

Co-infection with Hepatitis B or C

Population pharmacokinetic analysis indicated that hepatitis C virus co-infection had no clinically relevant effect on the exposure to dolutegravir. There are limited data on subjects with hepatitis B co-infection.

5.3 Preclinical safety data

Dolutegravir was not mutagenic or clastogenic using *in vitro* tests in bacteria and cultured mammalian cells, and an *in vivo* rodent micronucleus assay. Dolutegravir was not carcinogenic in long term studies in the mouse and rat.

Dolutegravir did not affect male or female fertility in rats at doses up to 1000 mg/kg/day, the highest dose tested (24 times the 50 mg twice daily human clinical exposure based on AUC).

Oral administration of dolutegravir to pregnant rats at doses up to 1000 mg/kg daily from days 6 to 17 of gestation did not elicit maternal toxicity, developmental toxicity or teratogenicity (27 times the 50 mg twice daily human clinical exposure based on AUC).

Oral administration of dolutegravir to pregnant rabbits at doses up to 1000 mg/kg daily from days 6 to 18 of gestation did not elicit developmental toxicity or teratogenicity (0.40 times the 50 mg twice daily human clinical exposure based on AUC). In rabbits, maternal toxicity (decreased food consumption, scant/no faeces/urine, suppressed body weight gain) was

observed at 1000 mg/kg (0.40 times the 50 mg twice daily human clinical exposure based on AUC).

The effect of prolonged daily treatment with high doses of dolutegravir has been evaluated in repeat oral dose toxicity studies in rats (up to 26 weeks) and in monkeys (up to 38 weeks). The primary effect of dolutegravir was gastrointestinal intolerance or irritation in rats and monkeys at doses that produce systemic exposures approximately 21 and 0.82 times the 50 mg twice daily human clinical exposure based on AUC, respectively. Because gastrointestinal (GI) intolerance is considered to be due to local active substance administration, mg/kg or mg/m² metrics are appropriate determinates of safety cover for this toxicity. GI intolerance in monkeys occurred at 15 times the human mg/kg equivalent dose (based on a 50 kg human), and 5 times the human mg/m² equivalent dose for a clinical dose of 50 mg twice daily.

6. PHARMACEUTICAL PARTICULARS

6.1 List of excipients

Tablet core

D-Mannitol (E421) Microcrystalline Cellulose (E460) Sodium Starch Glycolate Povidone K29/32 (E1201) Sodium Stearyl Fumarate (E365)

Tablet coating

Polyvinyl alcohol-Part Hydrolyzed (E1203) Titanium Dioxide (E171) Macrogol/PEG (E1521) Talc (E553b) Iron oxide yellow (E172)

6.2 Incompatibilities

Not applicable.

6.3 Shelf life

The expiry date of the product is indicated on the label and packaging.

Use within 30 days after opening.

6.4 Special precautions for storage

Do not store above 30°C.

6.5 Nature and contents of container

HDPE (high density polyethylene) bottles closed with polypropylene screw closures, with a polyethylene faced induction heat seal liner. The bottles contain 30 film-coated tablets.

6.6 Special precautions for disposal

No special requirements for disposal.

7. MANUFACTURER

Glaxo Wellcome S.A., Burgos, Spain.

8. LICENSE HOLDER AND IMPORTER

GlaxoSmithKline (Israel) Ltd., 25 Basel St., Petach Tikva.

9. LICENSE NUMBER

151-40-33983-01

Tiv EU DR v3